IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

JORGE GALINDO,

Petitioner, 4:23CV3241

VS.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

ROB JEFFREYS,

Respondent.

This matter is before the Court on preliminary review of Petitioner Jorge Galindo's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and filed in this Court on December 11, 2023, along with exhibits in support, which are attached to separate indexes and also filed with this Court. See Filing Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (and attachments). The Court determines that Petitioner's claims are potentially cognizable in federal court. However, the Court cautions Petitioner that no determination has been made regarding the merits of these claims or any defenses to them or whether there are procedural bars that will prevent Petitioner from obtaining the relief sought. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

Upon initial review of the habeas corpus petition and its exhibits, see Filing
 Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (and attachments), the Court preliminarily determines that
 Petitioner's claims as set forth in the Petition are potentially cognizable in
 federal court.

- 2. By February 20, 2024, Respondent must file a motion for summary judgment or state Court records in support of an answer. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set a case management deadline in this case using the following text: February 20, 2024: deadline for Respondent to file state court records in support of an answer or a motion for summary judgment.
- 3. If Respondent elects to file a motion for summary judgment, the following procedures must be followed by Respondent and Petitioner:
 - A. The motion for summary judgment must be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time the motion is filed.
 - B. The motion for summary judgment must be supported by any state court records that are necessary to support the motion. Those records must be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation of State Court Records in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment."
 - C. Copies of the motion for summary judgment, the designation, including state court records, and Respondent's brief must be served on Petitioner except that Respondent is only required to provide Petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the record that are cited in Respondent's motion and brief. If the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Petitioner or Petitioner needs additional records from the designation, Petitioner may file a motion with the Court requesting additional documents. Such motion must set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.

- D. No later than 30 days following the filing of the motion for summary judgment, Petitioner must file and serve a brief in opposition to the motion for summary judgment.
- E. No later than 30 days after Petitioner's brief is filed, Respondent must file and serve a reply brief. If Respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the Court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the motion is therefore fully submitted for decision.
- F. If the motion for summary judgment is denied, Respondent must file an answer, a designation, and a brief that complies with terms of this order. (See the following paragraph.) The documents must be filed no later than 30 days after the denial of the motion for summary judgment. Respondent is warned that failure to file an answer, a designation and a brief in a timely fashion may result in the imposition of sanctions.
- 4. If Respondent elects to file an answer, the following procedures must be followed by Respondent and Petitioner:
 - A. By **February 20, 2024**, Respondent must file all state court records that are relevant to the cognizable claims. See, e.g., Rule 5(c)-(d) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. Those records must be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation of State Court Records in Support of Answer."

- B. No later than 30 days after the relevant state court records are filed, Respondent must file an answer. The answer must be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time the answer is filed. Both the answer and the brief must address all matters germane to the case including, but not limited to, the merits of Petitioner's allegations that have survived initial review, and whether any claim is barred by a failure to exhaust state remedies, a procedural bar, non-retroactivity, a statute of limitations, or because the petition is an unauthorized second or successive petition. See, e.g., Rules 5(b) and 9 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.
- C. Copies of the answer, the designation, and Respondent's brief must be served on Petitioner at the time they are filed with the Court except that Respondent is only required to provide Petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the designated record that are cited in Respondent's answer and brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Petitioner or Petitioner needs additional records from the designation, Petitioner may file a motion with the Court requesting additional documents. Such motion must set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.
- D. No later than 30 days after Respondent's brief is filed, Petitioner must file and serve a brief in response.

E. No later than 30 days after Petitioner's brief is filed, Respondent must file and serve a reply brief. If Respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the Court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the merits of the petition are therefore fully submitted for decision.

F. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set a case management deadline in this case using the following text: **March 21, 2024**: check for Respondent's answer and separate brief.

 No discovery shall be undertaken without leave of the Court. See Rule 6 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.

Dated this 21st day of December, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

Joseph F. Bataillon

Senior United States District Court